



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,941	12/10/2003	Allon G. Englman	247079-000261USPT	6669
30223	7590	07/14/2008		
NIXON PEABODY LLP 161 N. CLARK STREET 48TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60601-3213			EXAMINER OMOTOSHO, EMMANUEL	
			ART UNIT 3714	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/14/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/731,941	Applicant(s) ENGLMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner EMMANUEL OMOTOSHO	Art Unit 3714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) EMMANUEL OMOTOSHO. (3) Sorinel Cimpoes.
 (2) John Hotaling. (4) Jeremie Moll.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Walker, Cooper, Fulton.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that the credits inserted after the game has started in Walker is not for a second wager that is risked on the same game outcome. Examiner agrees. Applicant argues that Cooper/Fulton does not enhance the game play with the second wager. Examiner disagrees. Claim amendments were suggested.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John M Hotaling II/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required